

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 STEVEN RINGELBERG,

Case No. 2:17-cv-01788-JAD-PAL

8 Plaintiff,

ORDER

9 v.

(Counter Mot Ext Time – ECF No. 65)
(Mot File Surreply – ECF No. 66)

10 VANGUARD INTEGRITY
PROFESSIONALS-NEVADA, INC., et al.,

11 Defendants.

12 Before the court are Defendants' Counter Motion to Extend Discovery by 120 Days or Stay
13 Discovery Pending the Outcome of the Early Neutral Evaluation (ECF No. 65), and Plaintiff's
14 Motion to Leave to File Sur Reply to Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Disqualify
15 Richard Mescon as Counsel for Plaintiff (ECF No. 66). The court held a hearing on a series of
16 motions in this case on November 7, 2017. At the hearing, the Motion to Disqualify (ECF No. 53)
17 was denied, and the Motion to Extend Discovery (ECF No. 65) was granted. Additionally, the
18 court granted the plaintiff's request to refer this case for an Early Neutral Evaluation. Judge Foley
19 held the ENE on December 20, 2017, but the parties were unable to reach a settlement, and the
20 case was returned to the normal litigation track.


21 As the motions listed in the caption are shown as undecided on the docket, and having
22 reviewed and considered these matters,

23 **IT IS ORDERED** that:

- 24 1. Defendants' Counter Motion to Extend Discovery by 120 Days or Stay Discovery
25 Pending the Outcome of the Early Neutral Evaluation (ECF No. 65) is **Granted in part**
26 **and Denied in Part**. The request for a 120-day extension of the discovery plan and
27 scheduling order deadlines is **Granted**. The request for a stay of discovery pending an
28 Early Neutral Evaluation is **Denied**.

1 2. Plaintiff's Motion to Leave to File Sur Reply to Defendants' Reply in Support of
2 Motion to Disqualify Richard Mescon as Counsel for Plaintiff (ECF No. 66) is **Denied**.

3 DATED this 22nd day of January, 2018.

4
5 
6 PEGGY A. TEEN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE